## COURT-II IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY (Appellate Jurisdiction)

<u>APPEAL NO. 40 OF 2019 & IA NOs. 192 & 553 OF 2019</u>

Dated: 11<sup>th</sup> April, 2019

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N. K. Patil, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Mr. Ravindra Kumar Verma, Technical Member

In the matter of:

Noida Power Company Limited .... Appellant(s)

Vs.

Uttar Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr .... Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) : Mr. Vishal Gupta

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. C.K. Rai

Mr. Sachin Dubey for R-1

Mr. Raghavedra Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Rai Mr. Md. Atul Mansoor Mr. Puneet Sharma Mr. Shishir Prakash

Ms. Karuna K. Thareja for R-2

## ORDER (IA No. 553 of 2019- Delay in filing reply)

We have heard the learned senior counsel appearing for both the parties.

Learned senior counsel appearing for the Respondent no. 2 submitted that, there is a delay of 22 days in filing the reply which has been explained satisfactorily in the application. The same may kindly be accepted and delay may kindly be condoned.

Submissions of the learned senior counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2, as stated above, are placed on record.

In the light of the submissions made by the learned senior counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2 and after perusal of the application explaining the delay in filing the reply, we find it satisfactory as sufficient cause has been made out. The same is accepted and the delay in filing the reply is condoned. IA No. 553 of 2019, for delay in filing the reply is allowed.

## APPEAL NO. 40 OF 2019 & IA NO. 192 OF 2019

At the request of learned counsel appearing for the Appellant, four weeks' time is permitted to file rejoinder to the reply filed by the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent no. 2 i.e. on or before 13.05.2019 after duly serving copy to the other side

List the matter on <u>14.05.2019</u>.

(Ravindra Kumar Verma)
Technical Member
mk

(Justice N.K. Patil) Judicial Member